

Record,

Intf. Page,

324.

1891.

6.

Interference.

No.

16.445

"W."

M. O. Anthony
vs.
J. H. Ling

Coin Operated
Phonograph

1891.

ATTORNEYS.

12/18/91
M. O. Anthony,
y. Geo J. Murray
Cincinnati, Ohio.

J. H. King,
y. F. J. Sprague & Son,
Detroit Mich.
J. L. Bacon,
City, (assoc.)

(2-070.)

INDEX.

INTERFERENCE.

No. 15.445

Anthony

v.

Ling

SUBJECT-MATTER:

Coin Operated
Phonograph.

- 1 Nov 18-91 Delegation Prelim. hearing Dec 10/91
- 2 Dec 11th " Statement of Ling
- 3 " 5th " Letter to "
- 4 " 9th " Statement of Anthony
- 5 " " " Letter to "
- 6 " 24th Letter to Ling attending prelim. hearing to Jan. 20-92
- 7 " " " Anthony " " "
- 8 Jan. 25-92 Letter to Ling attending prelim. by Feb. 1-92
- 9 " " " Anthony " " "
- 10 Feb. 6. Times set for taking testimony Hearing May 20-92
- 11 June 30-92. Decided in favor Ling. Limit of appeal July 20-92
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Inst. No. 15,443 Paper No. 1

Anthony
v.
Ling

Declaration of Interference of

Nov. 18 1891

Time of day set

for Dec. 10 1891

Dec 10

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

United States Patent Office, Recd. of Intf. W
#15,440

Washington, D.C., Nov., 2, 1891.

Examiner of Interferences:

An interference is found to exist between the following cases, and in respect to the invention therein specified, to wit;

CASES.

1. M.O. Anthony, of Cincinnati, Ohio, for Feed and Return Mechanism for Phonographs, filed June 26, 1891; Serial No. 397,663, whose attorney is George J. Murray, of Cincinnati, Ohio.
2. J.H. Ling, of Detroit, Michigan, for Coin Operated Mechanism for Phonographs, filed June 4, 1891; Serial No. 395,137, whose attorney is T.S. Sprague & Son, of Detroit, Michigan, associate L.S. Bacon of Washington, D.C.

In coin operated mechanism, the combination, with an electric motor, and an open circuit terminating in contact arms, a spring Jack for connecting said contacts, a spring lock for normally holding said jack out of contact, a latch actuated by the coin for releasing said jack, and a rack arm to re-engage the jack with the lock.

The foregoing issue covers substantially claims 3, 4, and 5 of Anthony's application, and claim 5 of Ling's application.

L. W. Maxson
Examiner

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE.

In re interference, "BB

Anthony

Ashwell

Barber

Bonyington & Bonyington

Douglass Pat. 431,883

STATE OF OHIO,

COUNTY OF HAMILTON,

Flora

J. H. Gilliland & Keller

Gilliland & Loppin

Wilman

Glass & Arnold Pat. 428,730

U. S. Keller & W. L. Madgen

)

: SS

)

No. 1509

Anthony's Pre
Statement.

Marcus O. Anthony, being first duly sworn doth depose and say that he is a party to the above entitled interference; and he conceived the invention contained in claim^s 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 of his application declared to be in this Interference, on or about the 12th day of July 1890, he made sketch of said invention and then explained it to others; that on the 24th day of July 1890 he made full working drawings of the same; that on or about the 7th day of August 1890, he had his first machine, which was fully tested on or about the 17th day of September 1890, that this machine was put into practical operation about the 8th day of October 1890, and has been constantly in successful operation ever since, and has never failed to work.

Marcus O. Anthony.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 12th day of May.

1891.

David S. Oliver

Notary Public, Hamilton Co,

Ohio.

Ms. No. 15,445 Paper No. 9

Anthony

v.

Ling

Letter to Anthony.

Jan. 25-'92.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C.,

January 25, 1892

IN RE INTERFERENCE

Anthony

15,445.

Before the Examiner of Interferences.

Ling

Coin Operated Phonograph.

M. O. Anthony,

W. Geo. J. Murray,

Cincinnati, O.

Anthony's attention is hereby called to his preliminary statement in this case and to office letter of criticism thereof, dated Dec. 24, 1891. He is given as a further time within which to file the amended statement, required by said letter curing the defects to which his attention was called, until Feb'y 1, 1892; and he is hereby a second time warned that in default of filing said amended statement required on or before said last mentioned date he will be restricted in the further proceedings in this case as in said letter of Dec. 24, 1891, stated.

Walter Johnson
Examiner of Interferences.